

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/556,897	Applicant(s) ROACH ET AL.	
	Examiner Elizabeth Quan	Art Unit 1743	

All Participants:

(1) Elizabeth Quan.

(2) David Schneck.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 March 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-30

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner notified Attorney that claims 2-6 and 14 have been authorized as allowable subject, such that the application would be in condition for allowance by incorporating allowable subject matter into claim 1. Attorney submitted a proposed amendment incorporating claim 2 into claim 1 in an independent claim and claim 3 into claim 1 in another independent claim. Claim 10 was cancelled for failure to limit parent claim 1, and claims 16-30 were cancelled based on being drawn to a non-elected invention. The proposed amendment was agreed upon by both parties.